

Planning Team Report

Mid-Western Regional Local Environmental Plan 2012 - Rezone land at Lots 3 & 4 DP 1069441, Spring Flat Road, Burrundulla, Mudgee and amend the minimum lot size

Proposal Title :	-	al Environmental Plan 2012 - Rezo ulla, Mudgee and amend the minin	
Proposal Summary :	Spring Flat Road, Burrundu	Regional LEP 2012 by rezoning la ulla, Mudgee, from RU4 Primary Pr the minimum lot size from 20ha to	oduction Small Lots to R5 Large
PP Number :	PP_2016_MIDWR_002_00	Dop File No :	16/04743
Proposal Details			
Date Planning Proposal Received :	20-Apr-2016	LGA covered :	Mid-Western Regional
Region :	Western	RPA :	Mid-Western Regional Council
State Electorate :	ORANGE	Section of the Act :	55 - Planning Proposal
LEP Type :	Spot Rezoning		
Location Details			
Street : Sp	ring Flat Road		
Suburb : Bu	rrundulla City	: Mudgee	Postcode : 2850
Land Parcel : Lot	3 and 4 DP 1069441		
DoP Planning Offic	cer Contact Details		
Contact Name :	Megan Jones		
Contact Number :	0268412180		
Contact Email :	megan.jones@planning.nsw	.gov.au	
RPA Contact Deta	ils		
Contact Name :	Mark Lyndon		
Contact Number :	0263782850		
Contact Email :	Mark.Lyndon@midwestern.n	isw.gov.au	
DoP Project Manag	ger Contact Details		
Contact Name :	Wayne Garnsey		
Contact Number :	0268412180		
Contact Email :	wayne.garnsey@planning.ns	sw.gov.au	
Land Release Data	a		
Growth Centre :		Release Area Name :	
Regional / Sub Regional Strategy :		Consistent with Strategy	Yes

MDP Number :		Date of Release :	
Area of Release (Ha)	55.90	Type of Release (eg Residential /	Residential
•		Employment land) :	
No. of Lots :	0	No. of Dwellings (where relevant) :	25
Gross Floor Area	0	No of Jobs Created	0
The NSW Government Lobbyists Code of Conduct has been complied with : If No, comment :	Yes		
Have there been meetings or communications with registered lobbyists? :	Νο		
If Yes, comment :	There have been no l	known meetings with registered lobby	ists.
upporting notes			
Internal Supporting Notes :	the following: • Rural Lands SEPP • SEPP 55 (Remediati • Mining, Petroleum e		al was missing consideration of
	Information regarding adequate.	g the above matters was received on 2	0 April 2016 and is now
External Supporting Notes :			
equacy Assessmen	t		
tatement of the ob	jectives - s55(2)(a)		
Is a statement of the ob	jectives provided? Yes		
Comment :	minimum lot size f	facilitate release of land for large lot i for a dwelling, and allocate land along Public Recreation to establish a vegeta	the Sydney Road (Castlereagh
xplanation of prov	isions provided - s	55(2)(b)	
Is an explanation of pro	visions provided? Yes		
Comment	The planning prop	osal is required to amend the Mid-Wesom RU4 Primary Production Small Lots	

reduce the MLS from 20ha to 2ha.

Justification - s55 (2)(c) a) Has Council's strategy been agreed to by the Director General? Yes b) S.117 directions identified by RPA : **1.2 Rural Zones** 1.3 Mining, Petroleum Production and Extractive Industries * May need the Director General's agreement 1.5 Rural Lands Is the Director General's agreement required? No c) Consistent with Standard Instrument (LEPs) Order 2006 : d) Which SEPPs have the RPA identified? SEPP No 55—Remediation of Land SEPP (Mining, Petroleum Production and Extractive Industries) 2007 SEPP (Rural Lands) 2008 Additional information requested on 5th April 2016 as planning proposal was missing e) List any other matters that need to consideration of the following: be considered : Rural Lands SEPP SEPP 55 (Remediation of Land) Mining, Petroleum etc SEPP • S117 1.3 Mining, Petroleum and Extractive Industries. Council provided the information on 20 April 2016 and is now adequate. *1.2 Rural Zones* The proposal is inconsistent with this Direction as it seeks to rezone rural zoned land to a residential zone. An inconsistency may be justified if it is supported by a study or strategy that gives consideration to this direction or is of minor significance. Although it does not address the specific concerns of the direction, the Mudgee Gulgong Urban Release Strategy 2014 (URS) identifies this land for R5 Large Lot Residential use to be released post 2015. The URS is endorsed by the Department of Planning and Environment. The inconsistency may be argued to be of "minor significance" in this case due to the following factors: (a) The proposed rezoning is identified in the URS; (b) The proposed rezoning has been justified against "land use suitability criteria" (which is proposed to be inserted into the Mid-Western Comprehensive Land Use Strategy (CLUS), refer PP_2015_MIDWR_003_00) which supports the rezoning along with consideration of groundwater impacts; (c) The Gateway may condition the approval to proceed to require the CLUS be finalised with the inclusion of the land use suitability criteria, prior to this planning proposal being finalised. Given the abovementioned points, it is considered that the Director Regions, Western can be satisfied that the planning proposal's inconsistency with Direction 1.2 is of minor significance and may proceed. *1.3 Mining, Petroleum Production and Extractive Industries* The proposal is inconsistent with Direction 1.3 as it seeks to restrict potential development of resources by permitted a land use (residential) that is inconsistent with such development. Council has presented the following points for consideration in relation to this inconsistency: "The site is not located in the CLUS as a significant resource of coal, other minerals, petroleum or extractive material; There are no existing mines, petroleum production or extractive industries occuring in the area subject to the planning proposal; and The site is already adjacent to the residential fringe of Mudgee and therefore unsuitable for

mining and extractive industry."

The points above have sound planning merit and this position is agreed to by DP&E. The Director Regions, Western can be satisfied that the inconsistency is therefore considered to be of minor nature and the proposal may proceed.

1.5 Rural Lands

The proposal is inconsistent with Direction 1.5 as it does not fully accord with the SEPP (Rural Lands) 2008 rural planning and rural subdivision principals. However a proposal may be inconsistent with the Direction if the inconsistency is justified by a strategy. The DP&E endorsed Mudgee URS (as mentioned above) supports the proposed rezoning of the land, therefore the Director Regions, Western can be satisfied that the inconsistency is justified and may proceed.

Have inconsistencies with items a), b) and d) being adequately justified? Yes

If No, explain :

Mapping Provided - s55(2)(d)

Is mapping provided? Yes

Comment :

Community consultation - s55(2)(e)

Has community consultation been proposed? Yes

Comment : 28 days.

Additional Director General's requirements

Are there any additional Director General's requirements? No

If Yes, reasons :

Overall adequacy of the proposal

Does the proposal meet the adequacy criteria? Yes

If No, comment :

Proposal Assessment

Principal LEP:

Due Date : August 2012

Comments in relation to Principal LEP :

Assessment Criteria

Need for planning proposal :	Council is seeking to rezone land and amend the minimum lot size required for a dwelling on land, therefore a planning proposal is the appropriate mechanism to achieve this.
Consistency with strategic planning framework :	The land is identified within the Mudgee Gulgong Urban Release Strategy 2014 for R5 use with a 2 ha MLS. The requirement for the RE1 Public Recreation buffer along the highway is proposed by Council and is supported.

Environmental social economic impacts :	from the Mudgee connected to retio Water regarding t	town water c ulated water his matter. E	is being groundwater vulnera atchment. The development or sewer, therefore consulta Biodiversity value land is ider red with OEH. This is include	is not proposed ition is required itified along the	l to be with DPI - creek line,
Assessment Proce	SS				
Proposal type 🗄	Routine		Community Consultation Period :	28 Days	
Timeframe to make LEP :	9 months		Delegation :	RPA	
Public Authority Consultation - 56(2)(d) :	Other				
Is Public Hearing by th	e PAC required?	No			
(2)(a) Should the matte	er proceed ?	Yes			
If no, provide reasons	:				
Resubmission - s56(2)	(b) : No				
If Yes, reasons :					
Identify any additional	studies, if required. :				
If Other, provide reaso	ns :				
Consultation required - DPI (Water) regardin - OEH regarding biodi - RMS regarding front	g groundwater vulne iversity.		ppropriate lot size.		
Identify any internal co	nsultations, if required	:			
No internal consultati	on required				
Is the provision and fur	nding of state infrastru	cture relevani	t to this plan? No		
If Yes, reasons :					
ocuments					
			DocumentType Na		ls Public
Document File Name Cover Letter Request	ing Gateway door		Proposal Coverin		No
Report to Council me Supplementary Repor 'Burrundulla' Spring F	eting 17 June 2015 - 6 rt - Planning Proposa		Proposal	ש בכונסו	No
Amended PP.pdf			Proposal		No
Min no 161-15 Counci Supplementary Repor Burrundulla.pdf	-		Proposal		Νο

Proposal

Attachment_4_-_Evaluation_criteria_for_the_delegation

_of_plan_making_functions.doc

No

S.117 directions: 1.2 1.3 1.5 Additional Information : 1. Prin hav to t ade 2. Cor land be p req 3. Env a) day Infr b) exh	posal supported at this sta Rural Zones Mining, Petroleum Produ Rural Lands Prior to community com nary Industries - Water to ing regard to water supp be placed on exhibition un quately addressed. Prior to community com- nprehensive Land Use Si d for 2 hectare rural resid progressed to a stage su uires approval of the Dep Community consultation	Proposal Proposal age : Recommended with Conditions action and Extractive Industries sultation, consultation is required with o determine an appropriate minimum by and impacts on groundwater. The ntil the Department is satisfied that the sultation, the proposed amendment to trategy 2010 to insert criteria for asser- lential use, as proposed in (PP_2015_ itable for community consultation. The partment of Planning and Environment in is required under sections 56(2)(c) a	lot size for the land planning proposal is not he matter has been o the Mid Western ssing the suitability of MIDWR_003_00), must his proposed amendment
Additional Info Letter.pdf Inning Team Recommend Preparation of the planning pro S.117 directions: 1.2 1.3 1.5 Additional Information: 1. Prin hav to b ade 2. Cor land be l req 3. Env a) day Infr b) exh	posal supported at this sta Rural Zones Mining, Petroleum Produ Rural Lands Prior to community com nary Industries - Water to ing regard to water supp be placed on exhibition un quately addressed. Prior to community com- nprehensive Land Use Si d for 2 hectare rural resid progressed to a stage su uires approval of the Dep Community consultation	Proposal age : Recommended with Conditions action and Extractive Industries sultation, consultation is required with o determine an appropriate minimum by and impacts on groundwater. The ntil the Department is satisfied that the sultation, the proposed amendment to trategy 2010 to insert criteria for asset lential use, as proposed in (PP_2015_ itable for community consultation. The partment of Planning and Environment	h the Department of lot size for the land planning proposal is not ne matter has been o the Mid Western ssing the suitability of MIDWR_003_00), must is proposed amendment
nning Team Recommend Preparation of the planning pro S.117 directions: 1.2 1.3 1.5 Additional Information : 1. Prin hav to t adde 2. Cor land be p req 3. Env a) day Infr b) exh	posal supported at this sta Rural Zones Mining, Petroleum Produ Rural Lands Prior to community com nary Industries - Water to ing regard to water supp be placed on exhibition un quately addressed. Prior to community com- nprehensive Land Use Si d for 2 hectare rural resid progressed to a stage su uires approval of the Dep Community consultation	age : Recommended with Conditions action and Extractive Industries sultation, consultation is required with o determine an appropriate minimum by and impacts on groundwater. The ntil the Department is satisfied that the sultation, the proposed amendment to trategy 2010 to insert criteria for asse- lential use, as proposed in (PP_2015_ itable for community consultation. The partment of Planning and Environment	lot size for the land planning proposal is not he matter has been o the Mid Western ssing the suitability of MIDWR_003_00), must his proposed amendment
Preparation of the planning pro S.117 directions: 1.2 1.3 1.5 Additional Information : 1. Prin hav to t adde 2. Con land be j req 3. Env a) day Infr b) exh	posal supported at this sta Rural Zones Mining, Petroleum Produ Rural Lands Prior to community com nary Industries - Water to ing regard to water supp be placed on exhibition un quately addressed. Prior to community com- nprehensive Land Use Si d for 2 hectare rural resid progressed to a stage su uires approval of the Dep Community consultation	sultation, consultation is required wit o determine an appropriate minimum by and impacts on groundwater. The ntil the Department is satisfied that th sultation, the proposed amendment t trategy 2010 to insert criteria for asse lential use, as proposed in (PP_2015_ itable for community consultation. Th partment of Planning and Environment	lot size for the land planning proposal is not he matter has been o the Mid Western ssing the suitability of MIDWR_003_00), must his proposed amendment
S.117 directions: 1.2 1.3 1.5 Additional Information : 1. Prin hav to t ade 2. Con land be req 3. Env a) day Infr b) exh	Rural Zones Mining, Petroleum Produ Rural Lands Prior to community com nary Industries - Water to ing regard to water supp e placed on exhibition un quately addressed. Prior to community com- nprehensive Land Use Si d for 2 hectare rural resid progressed to a stage su uires approval of the Dep Community consultation	sultation, consultation is required wit o determine an appropriate minimum by and impacts on groundwater. The ntil the Department is satisfied that th sultation, the proposed amendment t trategy 2010 to insert criteria for asse lential use, as proposed in (PP_2015_ itable for community consultation. Th partment of Planning and Environment	lot size for the land planning proposal is not he matter has been o the Mid Western ssing the suitability of MIDWR_003_00), must his proposed amendment
1.3 1.5 Additional Information 1. Prin hav to t ade 2. Cor land be j req 3. Env a) day Infr b) exh	Mining, Petroleum Produ Rural Lands Prior to community con- nary Industries - Water to ing regard to water supp be placed on exhibition un quately addressed. Prior to community con- nprehensive Land Use Si d for 2 hectare rural resid progressed to a stage su uires approval of the Dep Community consultation	sultation, consultation is required with o determine an appropriate minimum by and impacts on groundwater. The ntil the Department is satisfied that th sultation, the proposed amendment t trategy 2010 to insert criteria for asse lential use, as proposed in (PP_2015_ itable for community consultation. Th partment of Planning and Environment	lot size for the land planning proposal is not he matter has been o the Mid Western ssing the suitability of MIDWR_003_00), must his proposed amendment
Prin hav to b ade 2. Cor land be j req 3. Env a) day Infr b) exh	nary Industries - Water to ing regard to water supp e placed on exhibition un quately addressed. Prior to community com- nprehensive Land Use Si d for 2 hectare rural resid progressed to a stage su uires approval of the Dep Community consultation	o determine an appropriate minimum ly and impacts on groundwater. The ntil the Department is satisfied that th sultation, the proposed amendment t trategy 2010 to insert criteria for asse lential use, as proposed in (PP_2015_ itable for community consultation. Th partment of Planning and Environmen	lot size for the land planning proposal is not he matter has been o the Mid Western ssing the suitability of MIDWR_003_00), must his proposed amendment
Cor land be req 3. Env a) day Infr b) exh	nprehensive Land Use Si d for 2 hectare rural resid progressed to a stage su uires approval of the Dep Community consultation	trategy 2010 to insert criteria for asse lential use, as proposed in (PP_2015_ itable for community consultation. Th partment of Planning and Environmen	ssing the suitability of MIDWR_003_00), must is proposed amendment
Env a) day Infr b) exh	-	n is required under sections 56(2)(a)	
day Infr b) exh	a shine har i failing allo	Assessment Act 1979 (EP&A Act) as	
b) exh		s required to be made publicly availa e to Preparing LEPs (Department of F	
•	The relevant planning an ibition of planning propo	uthority must comply with the notice sals and the specifications for mater planning proposals as identified in s	ial that must be made
	-	l with the following State Agencies ur ply with the requirements of relevant	
	Department of Primary I		
	Roads and Maritime Ser Office of Environment a		
rele		e provided with a copy of the plannin , and given at least 21 days to commo / consultation.	
obl	er section 56(2)(e) of the	required to be held into the matter by EP&A Act. This does not discharge have to conduct a public hearing (for ing land).	Council from any
LEF		he planning proposal under Section & and be compliant with the Departme LEP maps'.	
7. date	The timeframe for comp of the Gateway determi	leting the LEP is to be 9 months from nation.	the week following the

Supporting Reasons :	The planning proposal is consistent with the relevant (Department endorsed) land use strategy (URS) but also requires the CLUS to be amended as required with PP_2015_MIDWR_003).	
	As the subject land is groundwater vulnerable, Council needs to consult with DPI- Water regarding an appropriate MLS and groundwater impacts; RMS regarding frontage to Castlereagh Highway; and OEH regarding identified biodiversity lands.	
Signature:	Mypp .	
Printed Name:	Megan Jones Date: 13/5/16.	

Wyannsey 13/5/16 TLWR